2027 TAX BUDGET PUBLIC HEARING
MONDAY, JULY 20, 2026 – 6:45 PM

President Jim Fodor called the Tax Budget Public Hearing to order at 6:45 PM. City Council convened in Council Chambers – Courtroom #1.

On roll call for attendance, Derrick Abney, Lori DiNallo, Jim Fodor, and Christine Shoop were present. Mario Rodriguez, Nick Augustine and Paul Hach were not in attendance.

Other City Staff in attendance: City Manager Doug Lewis, Finance Director Bill Parkinson, and Clerk of Council Samantha Danielson.

Mr. Fodor turned the meeting over to Finance Director Bill Parkinson to present the 2027 Tax Budget to Council Members. He explained it was a report that provides financial information pertaining to funds that collect property taxes. He identified the relevant funds as the General Fund, Bond Retirement Fund, Police Pension, Fire Pension, Fire Protection, Fire Improvement, Road Improvements, and the Police Protection Levy, noting that combined, these funds collect roughly $3,000,000 in property taxes annually. Mr. Parkinson explained that the figures presented in the tax budget originate from the County Auditor's office, and that he inflates those numbers to account for potential delinquency payments. He noted that if delinquencies are later paid to the county, those monies are remitted back to the City, and the inflated estimate ensures those funds are captured. He continued with the budget document's exhibits: Schedule A summarized estimated property taxes for inside and outside millage; Schedule B was not relevant to the City; Exhibit 1 covered tax collections for 2024–2026 with estimates for 2027; Exhibit 2 summarized the City's general bonds and notes, specifically two – a Various Purpose Bond (originally issued 2007, maturing 2028) and the Shamrock Bond (issued 2012, maturing 2032), with combined principal and interest of $196,492; and Exhibit 3 summarized both inside and outside millage and the tax collections derived from each, totaling $3,050,000. Mr. Parkinson also noted that the document format had been updated from the prior year reflecting new requirements from the County Auditor's office. No questions were raised by members of Council or the public.

Mr. Fodor asked for a motion to adjourn the Tax Budget Public Hearing.

Mrs. Shoop moved to adjourn for the Tax Budget Public Hearing, seconded by Mr. Abney. All Council Members were in favor by answering "Aye". Motion carried at 6:51 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 6:51 PM.

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY, JULY 20, 2026 – 7:00 PM
EXECUTIVE SESSION IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING

CALL TO ORDER

President Jim Fodor called the Regular Council Meeting to order at 7:00 PM. City Council convened for a regular meeting in Council Chambers – Courtroom #1.

ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE

Councilpersons Derrick Abney, Lori DiNallo, Jim Fodor, Paul Hach, and Christine Shoop were present. Mario Rodriguez arrived at 7:03 PM. Nick Augustine was not able to attend.

Other City Staff in attendance: City Manager Doug Lewis, Law Director Jim Lyons, Economic Development Director Susan Crotty, Finance Director Bill Parkinson, Police Administrative Lieutenant Toby Burgett, Sustainability Project Manager/Assistant Electric Superintendent Mike Doughterty, and Clerk of Council Samantha Danielson.

APPROVE AGENDA AS SUBMITTED

Mr. Fodor asked for a motion to approve the agenda.

Mrs. Shoop made a motion to approve the agenda, seconded by Mr. Hach. All Council members were in favor by answering "Aye". Motion carried. Agenda approved.

INVOCATION was given by Councilperson Derrick Abney.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE was recited.

MINUTES: Regular Meeting 06.15.26

Mr. Fodor asked for a motion to approve the minutes from the Regular Meeting on June 15, 2026.

Mrs. Shoop moved to approve the minutes from the Regular Meeting on June 15, 2026, seconded by Mr. Hach. All Council members were in favor by answering "Aye". Motion carried. Minutes approved.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

Patricia Phillips, of East Erie Street, inquired about how she could obtain a reimbursement after having paid an invoice from Republic Services for container pickup fees, which she had paid without knowing the charge was being disputed by the city. It was explained that the City had filed an injunction lawsuit against Republic Services, arguing that the per-container charge was not a negotiated part of the city's contract. The injunction was moved from Lake County Court to federal court, where the judge declined to issue an injunction, finding that money damages would be sufficient if the city prevails. The underlying lawsuit continues. City Manager Doug advised that each individual property owner must decide for themselves whether to pay the Republic invoice, and that if the city prevails, those who paid will be entitled to refunds. Mr. Lewis also addressed Ms. Phillips' question regarding mandatory recycling under the Major Waste contract, explaining that the City piggybacked on an existing contract negotiated between Major Waste and Willoughby Hills, which is an all-inclusive contract covering refuse, recycling, and yard waste. The City had no option to modify its terms. He noted that the new contract provides weekly recycling and weekly yard waste collection, an improvement over the prior Republic contract. A bag service option was identified as an alternative at $4.12 per bag per week, without bulk waste, recycling, or yard waste service. Law Director Jim Lyons advised Ms. Phillips that, as someone who has already paid, has the option of filing a small claims action at Painesville Municipal Court, and that the city would be willing to provide testimony in support of her case. He also suggested she first write a letter to Republic Services demanding a refund within seven days.

Renee Aston, of Sunbury Oval, also commented on the transition from Republic to Major Waste, noting resident concerns about the flat-rate increase from approximately $61 to $70 per month regardless of whether residents choose to recycle. Ms. Aston expressed concern that residents were not adequately prepared for the change. Mrs. DiNallo acknowledged that Republic's rates would also have increased and had been discussed at past council meetings. Ms. Aston requested that when the contract with Major Waste comes up for renewal, the city explore the possibility of offering a non-recycling option or modify the contract. It was affirmed this would be considered at renewal time.

Michelle McLendon, of Poplar Lane, Heisley Park, raised two concerns. First, she expressed worry about increased traffic through the Elizabeth Boulevard neighborhood as a result of a proposed connection from Route 44 to Shamrock, noting the use of Elizabeth Blvd. as a shortcut and raise concerns about child safety and potential increases in property crime. Second, she described a persistent stormwater issue in her home, noting that her basement has flooded six to eight times during her five years of residence, with her sump pump running continuously even without rain events. She is concerned with the stormwater system and having it addressed. She stated that when she first reported the issue to the city, she was told it was her problem. She did not report subsequent flooding incidents to the City.

Greg Kennedy, of Elizabeth Boulevard, also addressed the proposed Route 44 to Shamrock connection. Rather than presenting his questions verbally, he submitted a written list of questions to Council and requested written responses via email, acknowledging the meeting format was not suited for extended dialogue. Council and the City Manager received the questions and confirmed that responses would be provided.

Frank Dengel, of Fernwood Court, Heisley Park, echoed concerns about stormwater and its potential impact on property values. He also commented on the Republic Services dispute, expressing his hope that the City would not return to Republic due to what he characterized as unprofessional conduct by the company, noting that Republic had attempted an unauthorized automatic withdrawal from his account.

Ray Sternot, of Birchwood Lane and HOA President of Heisley Park, presented a written email of the HOA's ongoing concerns regarding stormwater management system as follows:

> *As you are aware, the Heisley Park HOA has previously brought to the attention of Council our concerns about the integrity and effectiveness of the Heisley Park Stormwater System. Our field research originally focused on the fact that Wassan Ditch on our western boundary was blocked, and the realigned stream did not exist according to the original Army Corp permit. Our concerns have not gone away. In fact, given the recent identification of an unknown water source found in the eastern section of the development in stormwater drains at Heisley Park Lane and Greenfield Lane our concerns have only increased. As stewards of our development, we believe we have done our due diligence in performing field research and reviewing the pertinent Army Corp documents provided by the city about our stormwater system. Based on our research, the recent field research and findings of your*

Public Works personnel with regards to the unknown water source in our eastern section of our development there seems to be substantial factual data observed to conclude that the stormwater management system is not functioning as designed or approved by the Army Corp of Engineers or the Ohio EPA; and, is at risk of failure to adequately protect Heisley Park residents and their property. An efficient working stormwater management system is critical to Heisley Park residents because the development is located between two railroad tracks; it is in a flood plain and has ponds that are retention and not detention ponds. That being the case, we believe it is time the city contacted the Army Corp of Engineers; and the Ohio EPA as promised some time ago to request assistance and oversight regarding the Heisley Park Stormwater System and compliance with the original Army Corp and EPA permits. It has become obvious to us that the City needs some additional support/expertise to address these concerns to protect the over 500 residents of Heisley Park and their property value.

He continued commenting on an email response from City Manager Mr. Lewis regarding the RFQ process.

Although we appreciate that the City is moving forward with the RFQ process, we believe there is value in having the City Engineer, as the city's main stormwater management person, begin reviewing and documenting the conditions that have already been identified rather than waiting for the consultant to be selected. Since November 2024, we have sought to work collaboratively with the City Engineer through joint field observations and a review of available documentation. This information could provide valuable background for the stormwater consultant and a geologist as they evaluate the issues and develop potential solutions. Additionally, the city commissioned a consulting report following the 2013 flooding. It is our understanding that not all its recommendations were implemented. We believe those findings, together with the current observations, warrant further review while the consultant selection process is underway.

David Biro, Sr., of Thornwood Lane, Heisley Park, spoke to his personal observations of the stormwater drain at Heisley Park. He reported that he conducted a field study from July 13th through July 20th observing the drain at Heisley Park Lane and Greenfield, timestamping his observations daily. He stated that water flows were excessive and came from an unknown water source even after three days without rain. He reported that on July 13th, the City's Department of Public Works, under City Engineer Leanne Exum's direction, also investigated the drain. After tracing the water outside the development, it was identified as an "unknown water source" traced to Wasson Marsh Creek—a known Army Corps of Engineers location. Mr. Biro referenced documentation of his field research to back-up the concern. He challenged the City to identify five things done in accordance with that report before commissioning another taxpayer-funded consulting fee, stating that the 2004 report already identifies what needs to be done. He recalled that in 2014, concerns were raised about the development during a construction phase and that an outside firm had warned the developer (Mortell) of a problem, which went unaddressed. Mr. Biro requested that a small committee be formed, including HOA board members and a couple of Council members, to address the issue. President Fodor indicated that Administration would first make its recommendation before Council moved forward.

COMMITTEE REPORT: Economic Development Committee, Special Ad Hoc Committee, Planning Commission, BZA, Fairport Senior Board, JEDD

Economic Development Committee

Mr. Abney reported for this committee which was held on June 15, 2026. The following items were discussed: Members reviewed two grant applications for assistance with downtown redevelopment projects. The new building owners of 1-7 S. State Street submitted an application for a grant to assist with painting, flooring, and masonry work, which represents a portion of the total planned redevelopment project. The new building owners of 154 Main Street submitted an application for a grant to assist with masonry work and basement stabilization and reconfiguration, which is also a portion of the planned total renovation. Members voted unanimously to approve the maximum grant award of $25,000 for each project. There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Special Ad Hoc Committee

Mr. Abney reported for this committee which was held on July 7, 2026. The following items were discussed: Painesville is only municipality in Lake County without a written policy. Public Service Director Jarod McCrone emphasized the importance of establishing a policy and posting it on the City's website. It was pointed out that in reviewing all the other communities' policies, no replacement or monetary fees are approved until a review of the damage has been conducted by a supervisor, and this should be included in the mailbox new policy. The city should only be responsible for damage they cause. If a city owned plow does not physically contact a resident mailbox, there is no fault on the city. All parties at the meeting agreed. Mr. Sternot still believes a "like for like" replacement is how it should be addressed and suggested letting the residents pay the

difference of what they currently pay in materials in order to receive the white vinyl mailbox. This may not be received well by the residents to ask them to submit a check for the differences so the City can move forward with the repairs. Councilman Abney stated he felt residents should have a right to decide what mailbox they would like to have. They may prefer the City's replacement over the white vinyl mailbox. They may want different colors or different styles. He didn't feel the HOA should be affecting decisions for the entire City and that the new policy should apply to the entire City. The final decision of the committee was for Service Director McCrone to create two new policies to put before Council for discussion and final vote at a future time. No monetary amount would be included in either proposal, citing concerns about creating unnecessary administrative work for the Finance Department. The two proposed policies were suggested as follows: 1.) The current policy – The resident can accept the standard wooden 4" x 4" post and plastic mailbox as provided and installed by the City of Painesville Public Works department; and 2.) The City would replace all mailboxes damaged by the Public Works department with the white vinyl mailbox and wooden 4" x 4" post being requested by Heisley Park HOA. This will be discussed at a future meeting.

Planning Commission

City Manager Doug Lewis gave a report for this committee which was held on July 9, 2026. The Planning Commission favorably recommended approval of a Conditional Use Permit for Public Use in the M-2 District for a skate park at Jefferson Street and W. Prospect Street for the City of Painesville, with a vote of 4-0 at their meeting held on July 9, 2026, with no conditions as outlined in the attached memo. It was presented to Council, and Council has 15 days from the July 9th date to request a public hearing. Staff is not recommending a public hearing to be requested.

BZA

City Manager Doug Lewis gave a report for this committee which was held on July 16, 2026. The following item(s) were presented to the Board of Zoning Appeals for consideration during their regularly scheduled meeting on Thursday, July 16, 2026. The City of Painesville received an application from Our Shepherd Lutheran School requesting a variance to allow a second freestanding sign on their parcel located off of Mentor Ave. Additionally, the sign is proposed at 7' tall and 18 square feet in area, in lieu of the 4' tall and 16 square feet in area permitted by code. By a vote of 4-0 the variance request was tabled until the next regularly scheduled meeting, August 20th to allow the applicant to modify their request. There were no further items on the agenda, the meeting adjourned.

Fairport Senior Board

Mrs. Shoop reported for two meetings on this committee which was held on June 12 and July 10, 2026. The following items were discussed: (June 12, 2026) Treasurers Report was approved; Old Business: The Riverview clearing was finished; There will be two bocce courts, 50' long. Installation will take about 3 days, beginning June 15th ; The cost of the picnic table and trash receptacle may be covered by the Painesville Board; They will inquire on prices on a new activities announcement sign; New Business: The mailbox is broken, and the Village is responsible for it; the flag pole light will be repaired; a sign for identifying Senior Center Trip Parking is being sourced; pot holes will be repaired if the Village provides crushed rocks; Director's Report: the fence will get painted, and the back yard will get landscaped. The meeting was adjourned. The next meeting will be on July 10th. (July10, 2026) Treasurers Report was approved; Old Business: the potholes in the driveway were filled; The mailbox is still broken. It will probably be fixed when the grill post is dug; the parts to repair the flagpole light still need to be ordered; The activities announcement sign will remain in place since electricity is already in place. A new plexiglass front will be added; New Business: 5 signs have been ordered; fencing painting has started; The Bocce courts are finished and are being used. A league has been formed which plays on Mondays, and some Wednesdays. Players have purchased some of their own equipment, such as Rakes. Other members are welcome to play when the league is not in session; a motion to give free membership to people over 90 years old was defeated; members who are on get well list will be contacted; The Osborne Grant for $13,048 has been submitted for a new Nu-Step and bike for the exercise room; Director's Report: two additional picnic tables and a large garbage can have been received. The tables are being assembled; a new weight machines to replace outdated ones in the exercise room will be sourced. The meeting was adjourned. The next meeting will be on August 14th.

JEDD

Mrs. Shoop reported for two meetings on this committee which was held on June 19 and July 15, 2026. The following items were discussed: (June 19, 2026) Board Member Business/Correspondence: Mr. McIntosh reported attending the ICSC Retail Conference was constructive, and Mr. Lewis reported Painesville City has ordered $20M in Tesla Solar Batteries, Grand River Walk is now leasing and plan to opening October 2026, and Vic Place is moving along quickly; Finance: All of the ICSC expenses have been received, Revenue and Expense Worksheet - End of May JEDD received $990,939 in tax revenue, Breakdown: Concord received $663,249, Painesville received $221,083, and JEDD received $76,898; STAR Ohio Investment Worksheet – Total Receipts = $254,274 and interest rate is less this year. LY's rate = 4.5% TY's rate 3.8%; Old

Business – JEDD Membership Recruitment: University Hospitals is still considering joining the JEDD, Olon USA (former known as Ricerca) is expanding and wants to acquire additional land, and they have a grant from the State of Ohio to add 26 employees. ICSC 2026 – May 18-20, 2026: Conference Follow Ups include several retailers; Rita McMahon met with 3 brokers and the meetings were very positive and beneficial. Follow-up conversations will follow; New Business; Certificate of Records Disposal (RC-3) was approved; MS consultants Comprehensive Plan Presentation – Shneur Kushner: Very Through. The Concord Trustees will review and vote on its implementation; Presentation from Retail Strategies – Ms. Sanders: Retail Strategies works in many areas of Ohio. Chardon is one of their clients; Motion was passed to accept this contract; Placer.ai Proposal: This is a data firm only no help with placements of businesses. No interest in a contract; NextSite Proposal: It was decided to let this contract expire and go in a new direction; and Discussion of Future Administrative Assistance was placed on the agenda to have the Board begin to think about Succession planning. (July 15, 2026) This was a special meeting to discuss and vote on Retail Strategies, LLC (consulting services) Agreement which was approved.

LEGISLATION:
ITEM #1 ORDINANCE
***1st Reading* – An Ordinance amending appropriations for the current expenses and expenditures of the City of Painesville, State of Ohio for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2026 and adopting the operating budget, and declaring an emergency.**

Finance Director Bill Parkinson presented the midyear budget adjustment. The adjustments include:

- To increase the General Fund (#101) by $74,185 to account for a variety of additional expenses related to city operations. These expenses represent 0.3% of the overall General Fund budget.
- To increase the Street Construction Maintenance Repair Fund (#201) by $49,000 for two projects; to account for additional costs related to the Latimore and Mill street project, and to provide additional funding for road salt purchasing to take advantage of predictable, bulk-negotiated rates and avoid potential high open market rates in the middle of winter in the event additional road salt is needed.
- To increase the Amphitheater Fund (#206) by $6,230 for additional re-sale supplies. Donations and concession sales are expected to recover most if not all of these costs.
- To increase the COPs Fund (#223) by $500 for National Night Out related expenses. These expenses will by fully offset by a donation.
- To increase the Skate Park Fund (#226) by $73,500 for construction costs of the Skate Park at Morse Ave. These costs were approved in 2025, but never encumbered.
- To increase the Brownfield Grant Fund (#233) by $300,000 to account for a grant the City recently received. The General Fund will provide a temporary advance of $300,000 so that grant expenditures can be made, but as the reimbursements are received, the grant will fully repay the General Fund advance.
- To transfer $190,000 within the Fire Levy Fund (#236) from an ambulance re-mount capital project that will no longer be needed to expenses related to fleet maintenance and the local match of an AFG grant. The net impact on the Fire Levy will be $0.
- To increase the Local Grant Fund (#279) by $1,500 for a Fall Fest Grant. These expenses are fully reimbursable through the grant.
- To adjust the solar field grant (#299) budget to reflect anticipated costs expected to arise throughout the remainder of the current fiscal year. The net impact to the overall solar field budget will be $0.
- To increase the Municipal Court Fund (#429) by $17,710 to adjust for additional employee expenses.
- To increase the Water Operating Fund (#710) by $81,545 to account for 300 additional water meters and the distributed costs related to Hometown Heroes, Road Salt and Utility Billing.
- To increase the WPCP Operating Fund (#720) by $37,395 to account for the generator and belt press fires (these costs will be fully reimbursed by insurance), and distributed costs related to Hometown Heroes, Road Salt, and Utility Billing.
- To increase the WPCP Capital Fund (#722) by $425 to account for the additional cost of a lawnmower purchase.
- To increase the Electric Capital Fund (#732) by $370,000 for a replacement bucket truck that was missed during the 2026 budget hearing process.

It was asked whether projected revenues are sufficient to support any of the adjustments for which costs are not expected to be recovered through grants or sales. Finance Director William confirmed that they are.

Mr. Abney moved to suspend, seconded by Mrs. DiNallo.

On roll call, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried.

Mrs. DiNallo moved to adopt, seconded by Mrs. Shoop.

On roll call, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried 6-0.

ORDINANCE 16-26 is ADOPTED.

ITEM #2 ORDINANCE

***1st Reading* – An Ordinance providing for the issuance and sale of not to exceed $10,000,000 of Notes, in anticipation of the issuance of Bonds, for the purpose of paying the costs of the acquisition of a BESS (Battery Energy Storage System) and the design, engineering, construction and installation of BESS related to electric power generation, together with all necessary appurtenances thereto and related site improvements therefor, and such other improvements as authorized and described in Resolution No. 29-26, and declaring an emergency.**

Finance Director Bill Parkinson presented this ordinance and introduced two guests assisting with any questions: Austin McGuan of Piper Sandler, the underwriter for the City, and Michael Prcela of Sudsina and Associates, the municipal advisor to the City. Mr. Parkinson explained that under Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.41, he as fiscal officer must certify that funds are available to pay for an obligation or are "in the process of collection." While grant reimbursements can be considered in the process of collection, federal tax credits—the anticipated funding source for this contract—are not. As a result, notes must be issued to place the necessary funds in the bank, enabling the city to fulfill the Convergence contract previously approved by Council. It asked whether all associated costs—fees, interest, advisory costs—would be reimbursable. Mr. Parkinson confirmed they would be covered by the federal tax credits and no expense out of the City's pocket as best as the city estimates at this time. It was also asked if the issuance of sales and notes are the best options. Mr. Parkinson confirmed it was. Mr. Prcela and Mr. McGuan of Piper Sandler provided additional context regarding the process. They explained that the notes will be sold into the market on August 11, 2026, with closing on September 1, 2026. A 33-month note term is contemplated, with semi-annual interest payments and a prepayment option exercisable on 30 days' notice after the first 12 months. The notes will be issued as taxable general obligation notes on the advice of bond counsel, given the complexity of the federal tax credit structure. During the note period, the city will be able to invest the proceeds to help offset interest costs. All principal, interest, and issuance costs are expected to be fully reimbursed through the federal tax credit upon satisfactory completion of the project. Mr. Prcela stated he does not foresee any issue selling these bonds or the marketability of these bonds. Mr. Lewis did clarify this is a general obligation note, and it is specifically for the battery project to cover the remainder of the battery project where the City has an agreement with Convergence to install the batteries. He noted that an additional note will need to be issued for the solar portion of the project within the year. Mr. Prcela stated both these financings are required for the same purpose, that there is a distinction to be made between the grant and the tax credit. The tax credit portion of that EPA grant requires the project be done in satisfaction to the federal government in order to get reimbursed. The next portion is going to be much more sizable, and that is not going to be general obligation, that will be issued as a direct revenue anticipation note secured by the revenues of the utility itself. It will be put through the federal grant process for reimbursement. The concern about the long-term impact on ratepayers was brought forth, asking what residents can expect to pay for electricity going forward. It was clarified that the cost of solar power itself is very low once infrastructure is in place, as the primary operational costs would be maintenance and personnel.

Mrs. Shoop moved to suspend, seconded by Mrs. DiNallo.

On roll call, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried.

Mrs. Shoop moved to adopt, seconded by Mr. Rodriguez.

On roll call, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried 6-0.

ORDINANCE 17-26 is ADOPTED.

ITEM #3 ORDINANCE

***1st Reading* – An Ordinance authorizing and directing the City Manager of City of Painesville to enter into an agreement with the Lake County Board of Commissioners to participate in the Urban Entitlement Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program and for the**

utilization of funds made available by the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and declaring an emergency.

City Manager Doug Lewis spoke on this piece of legislation explaining the Lake County Board of Commissioners was informed by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that if the County if to retain its "Urban County" status for the next three-year period, 2027-2029 it must "re-qualify" as an Urban County. In order to be eligible for the CDBG and HOME program funds, the City must provide the attached Ordinance stating that the City of Painesville wants to participate. The last time we provided an Ordinance was in 2023 for the three-year period 2024-2026. The Ordinance authorizes and directs the City Manager to enter into a Cooperation Agreement for the Urban County Entitlement Program. It was requested this Resolution pass on first reading in order to meet the application deadline of August 3, 2026. In prior years, the City have received an average of approximately $75,000 a year in CDBG funding. This year, we were recently awarded $75,000 to update our ADA crossing signals.

Mrs. DiNallo moved to suspend, seconded by Mrs. Shoop.
On roll call, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried.

Mrs. Shoop moved to adopt, seconded by Mrs. DiNallo.
On roll call, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried 6-0.
ORDINANCE 18-26 is ADOPTED.

ITEM #4 RESOLUTION
3rd Reading* – A Resolution adopting the 2027 Tax Budget for the City of Painesville, Ohio, and declaring an emergency.** ***(1st Reading 06.01.26, 2nd Reading 06.15.26)

Finance Director Bill Parkinson briefly noted this is the third and final reading of the 2027 Tax Budget, which was the subject of the public hearing held earlier in the evening. He stated it is an annual item for the City and that upon adoption, he will file the budget with the Lake County Auditor's Office.

Mrs. DiNallo moved to adopt, seconded by Mrs. Shoop.
On roll call, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried 6-0.
RESOLUTION 32-26 is ADOPTED.

ITEM #5 RESOLUTION
***1st Reading* – A Resolution authorizing the payment of a specific invoices with "Then and Now" Certificates, and declaring an emergency.**

Finance Director Bill Parkinson spoke on this resolution, explaining the underlying legal requirement under ORC Section 5705.41(D): all city obligations must have a purchase order in place before the obligation is incurred. Without a prior purchase order, he as fiscal officer has authority to approve obligations up to $3,000; anything above that must go to Council. There were four invoices before Council for this reason: Lake County IT Department – TAC software upgrade: $7,248, Lake County IT Department – Q1 recurring IT charges: $4,839, Motorola – mobile radios: $4,692, and Medico Systems – asbestos testing: $3,500. It was expressed that training of the procedure is in place, and acknowledged that his office held a meeting in February to review finance rules with departments and stated he is planning a similar session in the fall of 2026, with repeat sessions in Winter 0f 2027 and to go forward on a biannual basis. Mr. Parkinson did explain the P.O. process. City Manager Mr. Lewis clarified that the Lake County IT recurring charges are typically covered by a PO at the start of each quarter but were inadvertently missed. He also noted that the Medico invoice was one where he believed a PO had been processed, but it apparently had not. It was clarified that none of these vendors have yet been paid; the then-and-now certificate process is what allows the City to generate the purchase orders and then make payment.

Mrs. DiNallo moved to suspend, seconded by Mrs. Shoop.
On roll call, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried.

Mr. Rodriguez moved to adopt, seconded by Mrs. Shoop.
On roll call, Mr. Abney, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried 6-0.

RESOLUTION 33-26 is ADOPTED.

ITEM #6 RESOLUTION

***1st Reading* – A Resolution authorizing the establishment of the Painesville Commercial Retention and Redevelopment Grant Program; authorizing the use of General Fund monies to provide Economic Development grants to eligible businesses for building construction, renovation, and property improvements; authorizing the City Manager to administer the program, and declaring an emergency.**

Economic Development Director Susan Crotty spoke on this piece of legislation, stating Council has previously appropriated funding in the Economic Development Business Assistance Fund to be used to encourage new business investment and job creation in the City. The legislation before you will officially establish the Painesville Commercial Retention and Redevelopment Grant Program, which will allow the City to fairly evaluate projects that do not require a development agreement for grant assistance. The application process will be similar to the City's previous process for the use of ARPA funds for façade and interior improvements of downtown businesses. There are two grant requests that total $50,000. One is for Sidewalk Café, Inc. who purchased 1-7 South State Street and plans to make improvements to lease out office and commercial space. The other is Twin Legacy Investments that purchased 154 Main Street and plans to improve the first floor commercial space and create apartments on the 2nd and 3rd floor of the building. Both requests were reviewed and approved by the Economic Development Committee. This legislation officially sets the framework for the program. Grant awards are proposed not to exceed $25,000 per project, with the Economic Development Committee reviewing them for consideration. A total of $100,000 was appropriated for 2026 in the Economic Development Business Assistance Fund and only consider grant funding if funds are available. It was requested to pass this resolution at tonight's meeting to allow business owners to schedule contractors without delay.

Mr. Abney moved to suspend, seconded by Mrs. Shoop.

On roll call, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried.

Mr. Rodriguez moved to adopt, seconded by Mrs. Shoop.

On roll call, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried 6-0.

RESOLUTION 34-26 is ADOPTED.

ITEM #7 RESOLUTION

***1st Reading* – A Resolution authorizing and directing the City Manager to enter into a Real Estate Purchase Agreement with the Lake County Land Bank to acquire the property located at 260 Richmond Street, Painesville, Ohio, and declaring an emergency.**

City Manager Doug Lewis spoke on this resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a Real Estate Purchase Agreement with the Lake County Land Bank to acquire the vacant property at 260 Richmond Street for a purchase price of $12,000. He explained City Council has determined that it is in the best interest of the City to purchase property in order to control and encourage commercial, residential and/or community development within the City. The City has the opportunity to acquire the vacant land located at 260 Richmond Street from the Lake County Land Bank for the purchase price of $12,000.00. The purchase of this land will enable the City to improve the Richmond Street Commercial Corridor and this purchase will enable the City to determine the best commercial use for that land. As a result, staff is recommending the purchase of this property to ensure it is appropriately integrated into the overall development and revitalization of the Richmond Street Corridor for economic development purposes. The property was identified as the vacant lot between Urban Design and the barbershop. Council members raised questions about the size of the lot, the fair market value of the property, and whether $12,000 represented fair value relative to the Land Bank's costs. City Manager Mr. Lewis noted that one potential use of the acquired lot would be to allow a neighboring property owner to expand their business, or for the city to determine a highest and best use. Several Council members expressed support for letting the item proceed to a second reading to allow time to gather additional information—regarding lot size and comparable values comparable to review.

This will go to second reading.

ITEM #8 RESOLUTION

***1st Reading* – A Resolution authorizing and directing the City Manager to apply for and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Department of Natural Resources Nature Works Grant to**

be used towards the installation of a Zipline Adventure Area and a pavilion, and declaring an emergency.

City Manager Doug Lewis spoke on this resolution authorizing the City Manager to apply for and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Department of Natural Resources Nature Works Grant for the installation of a Zipline Adventure Area and a pavilion in Recreation Park. He explained that the grant amount requested is $37,666.00, and that Nature Works requires a 25% local match. The City already has a portion of the match budgeted for the Zipline Adventure Area in the 2026 budget, with the remaining match to be budgeted in the 2027 budget. It was requested to be passed tonight because while the application had already been submitted ahead of the July 15, 2026 grant deadline, the required authorizing resolution must be submitted within 30 days of that deadline.

Mrs. Shoop moved to suspend, seconded by Mrs. DiNallo.

On roll call, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried.

Mrs. Shoop moved to adopt, seconded by Mrs. DiNallo.

On roll call, Mrs. DiNallo, Mr. Hach, Mr. Rodriguez, Mrs. Shoop, Mr. Abney, and Mr. Fodor answered "Yes". Motion carried 6-0.

RESOLUTION 35-26 is ADOPTED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS: None

NEW BUSINESS: Proclamations for Amphitheater

City Manager Mr. Lewis reported that the City received several proclamations in connection with the July 1st grand opening of the new amphitheater. Recognition was received from the office of Senator Jon Husted, the office of Congressman David Joyce, the office of State Auditor Keith Faber, and the Lake County Board of County Commissioners, which presented a Certificate of Recognition. Commissioner Plecnik was present at the grand opening. Mr. Lewis expressed gratitude to all parties for their support and for some of the funding received from the Lake County Board of Commissioners for the project. The proclamations will be put on display.

DEPARTMENTAL PRESENTATIONS: None

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS: Law Director and City Manager

Law Director Jim Lyons stated that he had already provided a statement regarding the Republic Services lawsuit during public comments and had nothing further to add at that time. He expressed hope that the court will ultimately rule in the city's favor.

City Manager Doug Lewis reiterating that the decision of whether to pay Republic's container invoice rests with each individual property owner and that, should the City prevail in the lawsuit, those who paid will receive refunds. He reported on the following items: Since the July 1st grand opening of the amphitheater, three Wednesday evening concerts have been held. An 80's band is scheduled to perform the following Wednesday; The groundbreaking for the skate park was held on Thursday, July 16th, with approximately 60 attendees. The skate park is being constructed across the street from Morse Avenue Community Center by contractor Catamount Skateparks. Completion is anticipated around the end of September; Party in the Park took place the preceding weekend with a strong turnout, and he thanked PCIC for their continued work on that event; The City encouraged businesses or entrepreneurs interested in downtown commercial space to contact the city or the property owners at South State Street or Main Street directly; The city is in the process of gathering quotes from multiple insurance companies for liability coverage; The Finance Department is working with the State Auditor's Office on the fiscal year 2025 audit; The City recently submitted an application to the Ohio Department of Development for an opportunity zone designation, seeking to expand on the prior downtown district zone, which provides additional funding for developers; Following the JEDD's approval of the Retail Strategies contract, the city will now work with Retail Strategies to attract new commercial development to various sites within the City; World Changers was in Painesville the prior week, working on four properties with painting and cleanup assistance for residents who may not be able to maintain their properties and are in potential code violation situations; and the City had been operating the power plant for peak shaving during recent warm weather; City Manager Doug noted the situation had improved with cooler temperatures.

CORRESPONDENCE: Clerk of Council

Clerk of Council Samantha Danielson provided the following correspondence and upcoming event notices: The next regular Council meeting will be held on Monday, August 17th at

7:00 PM; Farmers Market is every Thursday and will continue through September 17th from 11:00 AM to 3:00 PM at the Square; Car Cruise Friday continues through September 18th from 5:00 to 8:00 PM at the Square; Lake County Fair Parade will be on Tuesday, July 28th at 6:00 PM. The parade starts at 508 Mentor Ave and travels west down Mentor Avenue to Fairgrounds Road; National Night Out will be Tuesday, August 4th from 5:30 – 8:00 PM at the Square. This is a free family event; and Movie in the Park will be Saturday, August 8th starting at 7:00 PM at the Square. The movie is Zootopia 2.

CLOSING COMMENTS: Members of Council

Mr. Abney had no comments at this time.

Mr. Rodriguez thanked all residents who attended and voiced their opinions, as well as those watching from home.

Mr. Hach reported on his participation in a Washington, D.C. trip as a policymaker representative for the American Public Power Association (APPA), which represents municipal utilities across all 50 states and Puerto Rico. He described meeting with congressional representatives, to lobby on issues relevant to public power utilities. Key topics included: FEMA assistance for vegetation clearing around electrical infrastructure to prevent wildfires; regulations around data centers, which consume as much power as an entire city in a single building, and how municipalities would handle a request to supply that level of power; and pole attachment regulations, noting that federal rules currently allow communications companies to attach equipment to city-owned poles without local consent or fees. Mr. Hach expressed pride in representing Painesville and hopes he can make a difference.

Mrs. Shoop noted that while she had intended to comment further on the recycling contract limitations, the matter had been sufficiently discussed during the meeting.

Mrs. DiNallo thanked the PCIC and volunteers for the Party in the Park event, thanked the World Changers and reiterated she is grateful for the Land Bank and concerned about her comments would be taken wrong. She expressed her disappointment in Republic Waste's behavior and the issues that have been created, and when the contract is in negotiations to look at choices for residents. She understood and appreciated the comments from the residents. She looks for the resolution of the Heisley Park residents regarding mailboxes and stormwater issues and appreciates their comments.

Mr. Fodor dittoed on many of comments already expressed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mrs. Shoop made a motion to move into an Executive Session being held in accordance with Article II, Section 6(1) to consider the appointment, employment, dismissal, discipline, promotion, demotion or compensation of an employee or official of the city; and in accordance with Article II, Section 6(3) to discuss pending or potential litigation involving the city, seconded by Mrs. DiNallo.

All Council Members were in favor by answering "Aye". Motion carried to move into the Executive Session at 8:59 PM.

Mr. Fodor asked for a motion to adjourn the Executive Session.

Mrs. Shoop moved to adjourn the Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Abney. All Council Members were in favor by answering "Aye". Motion carried to adjourn the Executive Session at 9:50 PM.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Fodor asked for a motion to adjourn the Regular Meeting.

Mrs. DiNallo moved to adjourn the Regular Meeting, seconded by Mr. Hach. All Council Members were in favor by answering "Aye". Motion carried to adjourn the Regular Meeting at 9:51 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 9:51 PM.

Samantha Danielson
Clerk of Council

Jim Fodor
President of Council

TAX BUDGET FOR

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR

01/01/27 - 12/31/27

LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

CITY OF PAINESVILLE

LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

BUDGET - 12/31/27

This Budget must be adopted by the Council or other legislative body on or before Jan 15, 2026, and two copies must be submitted to the County Auditor on or before Jan 20, 2026. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH SEC. 5705.30 R.C. SHALL RESULT IN LOSS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUND ALLOCATION.

TO THE LAKE COUNTY AUDITOR:
The following Budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2027, has been adopted by the City of Painesville and is herewith submitted for consideration of the Lake County Budget Commission.

Signed _____

Title _____

SCHEDULE A

Summary of Amounts Required from the General Property Tax Approved
by Budget Commission and County Auditor's Estimated Tax Rates

County Auditor's Estimated Municipal Tax Valuation $ _____

FOR SUBDIVISION USE		FOR BUDGET COMMISSION USE		FOR COUNTY AUDITOR USE	
FUNDS	TOTAL AMOUNT REQUESTED (per Tax Budget)	AMOUNT APPROVED by BUDGET COMMISSION (EXCEPT AS NOTED)	AMOUNT APPROVED by BUDGET COMMISSION, OUTSIDE 10 MILL LIMIT	COUNTY AUDITOR'S ESTIMATE OF TAX RATE TO BE LEVIED	
				INSIDE 10 MILL LIMIT RATE	OUTSIDE 10 MILL LIMIT RATE
	COLUMN A	COLUMN B	COLUMN C	COLUMN D	COLUMN E
101 - GENERAL FUND	$ 875,000				
201 - ROAD & BRIDGE FUND	95,000				
208 - POLICE PENSION FUND	115,000				
209 - FIRE PENSION FUND	115,000				
210 - FIRE PROTECTION LEVY	115,000				
216 - FIRE IMPROVEMENT LEVY	84,000				
217 - ROAD IMPROVEMENT LEVY	144,000				
218 - POLICE PROTECTION LEVY	445,000				
TOTALS ALL FUNDS	$ 1,950,000	$ -	$ -	0.00	0.00

INSTRUCTIONS: List only those funds which are requesting general property tax revenue. Complete column I with the amount that you are requesting for general property taxes. DO NOT COMPLETE COLUMNS C THROUGH E.

Signed: BUDGET COMMISSION _____

_____

_____

SCHEDULE B

For County Budget Commission Only

Levies Outside 10Mill Limitation, Exclusive of Debt Levies

Authority For and Purpose of Levy	Date of Vote	Tax Year		Maximum Rate Authorized to Be Levied	Reduced Rate per R.C. 5715.13	County Auditor's Estimate of Yield of Levy
		Levy Begins	Levy Ends			

Exhibit 1

Comparative and Estimated Receipts, Disbursements, and Balances

General Fund	For 2024 Actual	For 2025 Actual	Current Year Estimated For 2026	Budget Year Estimated For 2027
General Fund—Receipts				
Balance January 1st	8,701,566	8,630,709	11,029,284	11,029,180
Property Tax Revenue				
Real Estate & Public Utility	559,214	780,808	777,588	780,000
Tangible Personal Property	0	0	0	0
Intangible (Classified Tax)	0	0	0	0
Mobile Home Tax	0	0	0	0
Income Tax Revenue				
Municipal Income Tax Rev	0	0	0	0
Municipal Income Tax Rev	13,216,190	12,824,251	12,918,592	12,920,000
Other Locally Levied Taxes				
Admission Taxes	0	0	0	0
Motor Vehicle Taxes	2,898	2,763	65,000	70,000
In Lieu Payments	0	0	0	0
Other (Explain)	0	0	0	0
State Levied Locally Shared Taxes				
Local Government Fund	1,007,972	1,188,378	1,125,000	1,130,000
Estate Tax	0	0	0	0
Cigarette Licenses	889	665	750	10,000
Liquor Lic Fees Permits	14,180	17,290	14,500	20,000
Other (Explain)	0	0	0	0
Intergovernmental Revenue				
Federal Aid or Grants	6,744	5,382	0	0
State Aid Health Subsidy	0	0	0	0
State Aid, Other	99,681	87,979	80,000	90,000
Local Government Operating Contracts	0	0	0	0
Other (Explain)	0	0	0	0
Revenue Charges for Public Services				
General Government Fees	376,796	(240,677)	317,400	320,000
Contracts & Refunds (Disbursements Reduced)	0	0	0	0
Parking Fees	3,382	2,929	0	0
Recreation Programs	22,940	19,195	36,000	40,000
Hospital	0	0	0	0
Cemetery	0	0	0	0
Other (Explain)	0	0	0	0

Exhibit 1

Comparative and Estimated Receipts, Disbursements, and Balances

General Fund	For 2024 Actual	For 2025 Actual	Current Year Estimated For 2026	Budget Year Estimated For 2027
Fines, Costs, & Forfeitures				
Court Costs	109,494	1,977,694	100,000	100,000
Court Fines	59,549	100,382	80,500	90,000
Court Forfeitures	0	0	0	0
Licenses, Permits, Inspections				
Building Permits & Inspections	0	0	0	0
Zoning Permits & Fees	0	0	0	0
Vehicle Licenses	0	0	0	0
Business Licenses	0	0	0	0
Other (Licenses)	0	0	0	0
General Fund Receipts				
Other Revenue	2,403	51,216	34,200	34,200
Sale of Assets	0	767	0	0
Interest	2,010,165	2,219,409	1,850,000	1,850,000
Rental Income	200,080	200,000	0	0
Premium on Issuance/Note Sold	0	0	0	0
Other (Explain)	0	0	0	0
Non-Revenue				
Income Tax Collected for Others	0	0	0	0
Reimbursements for Capital Expenditures	0	0	0	0
Other Reimbursements	1,484,485	1,062,003	720,000	740,000
Refunds	0	0	0	0
Transfers From (Electric Fund)	715,777	726,123	23,405	20,000
Other (Return of Advances)	3,118,784	0	1,120,971	1,120,000
Total Receipts	23,867,164	20,340,405	28,194,070	28,174,200
Total Receipts and Balance	32,568,860	28,978,972	31,723,064	31,833,380
General Fund—Disbursements				
Police Law Enforcement				
Personal Services	4,153,964	5,437,720	6,004,436	6,030,000
Other Operation & Maintenance	852,140	911,849	922,734	926,000
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0
Traffic Control				
Personal Services	428,142	524,796	569,000	570,000
Other Operation & Maintenance	345,973	283,549	281,246	290,000
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0

Exhibit 1

Comparative and Estimated Receipts, Disbursements, and Balances

General Fund	For 2024 Actual	For 2025 Actual	Current Year Estimated For 2026	Budget Year Estimated For 2027
Animal Control				
Personal Services	0	0	0	0
Other Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0
Fire Prevention & Inspection				
Personal Services	2,953,589	3,409,862	3,328,560	3,330,000
Other Operation & Maintenance	842,248	685,684	882,952	910,000
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0
Police & Fire Communications				
Personal Services	0	0	0	0
Other Operation & Maintenance	582,280	634,561	620,000	630,000
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0
Street Lighting				
Personal Services	0	0	0	0
Other Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0
Police Pension (not shown in transfer fund)				
Personal Services	0	0	0	0
Other Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0
Fire Pension (not shown in transfer fund)				
Personal Services	0	0	0	0
Other Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0
Safety Administration Support				
Personal Services	0	0	0	0
Other Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0
All Other Departments				
Personal Services	5,482,391	5,564,326	5,379,360	5,380,000
Other Operation & Maintenance	9,292,281	2,898,707	5,482,052	5,480,000
Capital Improvements	0	0	0	0

SCHEDULE C

Inside 10mill Limited Bonds and Notes as of January 1, 2027
Requirements of Bond Retirement Fund

Purpose of Bonds and Notes Payable From Tax Levies Outside of 10Mill Limit	Date of Resolution Authorizing Bond/Note	Due Date	Rate of Interest	Amount of Bonds and Notes Outstanding	Amount Required For Principal Due	Amount Required For Interest Due	Amount Required For Principal and Interest Due
Bonds Paid from Bond Retirement Fund							
Bond Retirement Fund							
Land							
Police Dispute	2007	12/31/28	4.25% - 5.00%	$ 11,000.00	$ 20,000.00	$ -	$ 20,000.00
SUBTOTAL	2010	12/31/30	3.80%	$ 70,000.00	$ 12,000.00	$ 2,187.50	$ 14,187.50
TOTAL				$ 781,000.00	$ 176,000.00	$ 20,000.00	$ 196,000.00
Interest							
Total Paid from Proceeds and the Bond Retirement Fund, Exhibit 1				$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Total amount of principal and interest payments due on long term obligations, not already in other tax levies

Exhibit A

Amounts requested from General Property Tax, and Estimated Tax Rates.

City/Village/Township/Library of CITY OF PAINESVILLE

Fiscal Officer's Estimated Tax Value $ 2,500,000

FUND (LIST ALL FUNDS)	Total Amount Requested	Estimated Amount to be Derived from Levies Outside the 10 Mill Limit	Estimated Amount Required Inside 10 Mill Limit	County Auditor's Estimate of Tax to be Levied	
				Inside (10 Mill Limit)	Outside (Unlimited)
	COLUMN A	COLUMN B	COLUMN C	COLUMN D	COLUMN E
101 - GENERAL FUND	$ 875,000	$ -	$ 875,000	350.000	
201 - ROAD & BRIDGE FUND	95,000	0	95,000	38.000	
208 - POLICE PENSION FUND	115,000	0	115,000	46.000	
209 - FIRE PENSION FUND	115,000	0	115,000	46.000	
210 - FIRE PROTECTION LEVY	115,000	115,000	0		
216 - FIRE IMPROVEMENT LEVY	84,000	84,000	0		
217 - ROAD IMPROVEMENT LEVY	144,000	144,000	0		
218 - POLICE PROTECTION LEVY	445,000	445,000	0		
TOTAL	$ 1,950,000	$ 788,000	$ 1,200,000	$ 480.000	$ -

Proposed Tax Levies

Purpose	Inside or Outside 10 Mill Limit	Tax Rate to be Levied (or Voted)	Estimated Yield of Levy Inside Tax (Outside Amount)	Duration of Years

Tax Levies and Rates for _____

(Only for TWP. & V. by Fiscal Officer) (for Municipal, Only)

Commitment Line	Amount Approved by Budget Commission			County Auditor's Estimate of Rate (or Value)		
	Inside (10 Mill Limit)	Outside (Unlimited)	Total	Inside	Outside	Total
Levy						
Voted Levy						
Total						
Less Reductions						
Total						